

Residual coordinates of affine fibrations and their applications

Prosenjit Das

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Space Science and Technology
Thiruvananthapuram



ISI, 11-18 March 2023

Table of Contents

- 1 Notation and Definitions
 - 2 Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])
 - 3 Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])
 - 4 Residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations ([EK13])
 - 5 Residual coordinates of affine fibrations ([DD14])
 - 6 Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m
 - 7 References
- References

Notation

Notation

- $R :=$ A commutative ring with unity.
- $A :=$ An R -algebra.
- $K :=$ Quotient field of R , whenever R is domain.
- $\text{Spec}(R) :=$ Collection of all prime ideals of R .
- $k(P) :=$ The residue field R_P/PR_P , where $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- $R^{[n]} :=$ The polynomial algebra in n variables over R .
- $A = R^{[n]} :=$ A is isomorphic, as an R -algebra, to the polynomial algebra $R^{[n]}$.
- $\Omega_R(A) :=$ module of differentials of A over R .

Definitions

Definitions

- $D : A \longrightarrow A$ is an R -derivation of A if $\forall a, b \in A$ and $r_1, r_2 \in R$, $D(r_1a + r_2b) = r_1D(a) + r_2D(b)$ and $D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$.

Let D be an R -derivation of A .

- D is called a locally nilpotent R -derivation (R -LND) of A if for each $x \in A$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D^n(x) = 0$, i.e., $D^{n-1}(x) \in \text{Ker}(D)$.
- D is said to have a slice $s \in A$ if $D(s) = 1$, i.e., D is surjective.
- D is called fixed point free (FPF) if $D(A)A = A$.

Definitions

- A is said to be an \mathbb{A}^n -fibration over R if it is finitely generated and flat over R , and satisfies $A \otimes_R k(P) = k(P)^{[n]}$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- A is called stably polynomial over R if $A^{[m]} = R^{[n]}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Let A be an \mathbb{A}^n -fibration over R . $F \in A$ is called a m -stable coordinate of A if $A^{[m]} = R[F]^{[m+n-1]}$.
- R is called a retract of A if there is an R -algebra surjection $\phi : A \rightarrow R$ and $\phi|_R = \text{id}_R$.
Let $R \subseteq A$ be domains.
- R is called inert or factorially closed in A if $f, g \in A$ and $fg \in R$ implies that $f, g \in R$.

A few well-known results

- **Slice Theorem:** $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a ring. If D is an R -LND of A with a slice s , then $A = \text{Ker}(D)[s] = \text{Ker}(D)^{[1]}$.
- If A is a domain, then $\text{Ker}(D)$ is inert in A and $\text{tr.deg}_{\text{Ker}(D)}(A) = 1$.
- If $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ is Noetherian and $A^{[m]} = R^{[m+2]}$, then A is an \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration over R .

Table of Contents

- 1 Notation and Definitions
 - 2 Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])**
 - 3 Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])
 - 4 Residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations ([EK13])
 - 5 Residual coordinates of affine fibrations ([DD14])
 - 6 Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m
 - 7 References
- References

Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])

To generalized Abhyankar-Moh & Suzuki Epimorphism Theorem

Definition (Bhatwadekar, [Bha88])

$W \in R[X, Y]$ is called a residual coordinate if
 $R[X, Y] \otimes_R k(P) = (R[W] \otimes_R k(P))^{[1]} = k(P)[\overline{W}]^{[1]}$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$.

Example 1

$$R = \mathbb{Q}[t]_{(t)}, F = t^2Y + (X + tY^2) + t(X + tY^2)^2 \in R[X, Y]$$

$$\text{Spec}(R) = \{0, tR\}.$$

In $R[X, Y] \otimes_R k(0) = \mathbb{Q}(t)[X, Y]$: \overline{F} is a variable of $\mathbb{Q}(t)[X, Y]$
 $(X, Y) \longrightarrow (X + tY^2, Y) \longrightarrow (X + tY^2, t^2Y + (X + tY^2) + t(X + tY^2)^2)$

In $R[X, Y] \otimes_R k(tR) = R/tR[X, Y] = \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$: $\overline{F} = X$ is a variable of $\mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$.

So, F is a residual variable of $R[X, Y]$. **Question:** Is F a variable of $R[X, Y]$?

Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])

His observations:

- If F is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$, then $R[X, Y] \otimes_{R[F]} k(Q) = k(Q)^{[1]}$ for all $Q \in \text{Spec}(R[F])$.
- If F is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$, then $\Omega_{R[F]}(R[X, Y])$ is free of rank one over $R[X, Y]$.
- If R is a domain of characteristics zero and $R[X, Y]/(F) = R^{[1]}$, then F is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$.
- If $\dim(R) < \infty$, R is a Noetherian ring and $R[X, Y]/(F) = R^{[1]}$, then $R[X, Y]$ is $R[F]$ -flat.

Application: Generalized Epimorphism Theorem

Proving Generalized Epimorphism Theorem

Theorem 2 (Generalized Epimorphism Theorem, [Bha88])

R a ring, either contains \mathbb{Q} or R is a seminormal domain of characteristic zero.

$$R[X, Y]/(F) = R^{[1]} \implies R[X, Y] = R[F]^{[1]}$$

Sketch of proof:

By proper reduction arguments can assume that

R is a finite dimensional Noetherian domain either contains \mathbb{Q} or is seminormal.

$$R[X, Y]/(F) = R^{[1]} \implies$$

- F is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y] \implies R[X, Y] \otimes_{R[F]} k(Q) = k(Q)^{[1]}$ for all $Q \in \text{Spec}(R[F])$.
- $R[X, Y]$ is $R[F]$ -flat.

$$\implies R[X, Y] \text{ is an } \mathbb{A}^1\text{-fibration over } R[F]$$

$$\implies (\Omega_{R[F]}(R[X, Y] \text{ is free } R[X, Y]\text{-module) by Asanuma [Asa87]) } R[X, Y]^{[m]} = R[F]^{[m+1]}$$

$$\implies (\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R \text{ or } R \text{ is seminormal, by Hamann ([Ham75])) } R[X, Y] = R[F]^{[1]}.$$

Table of Contents

- 1 Notation and Definitions
 - 2 Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])
 - 3 Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])**
 - 4 Residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations ([EK13])
 - 5 Residual coordinates of affine fibrations ([DD14])
 - 6 Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m
 - 7 References
- References

Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])

Theorem 3 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD93])

R a Noetherian ring and $W \in R[X, Y]$. Then, the following are equivalent.

- 1 W is a residual coord. in $R[X, Y]$.
- 2 $R[X, Y]^{[m]} = R[W]^{[m+1]}$ for some $m \geq 0$.
- 3 $R[W] \subseteq R[X, Y] \subseteq R[W]^{[n]}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Observation: If W is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$, then $R[X, Y]$ is $R[W]$ -flat.

Sketch of the proof:

Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])

Theorem 3 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD93])

R a Noetherian ring and $W \in R[X, Y]$. Then, the following are equivalent.

- ① W is a residual coord. in $R[X, Y]$.
- ② $R[X, Y]^{[m]} = R[W]^{[m+1]}$ for some $m \geq 0$.
- ③ $R[W] \subseteq R[X, Y] \subseteq R[W]^{[n]}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Observation: If W is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$, then $R[X, Y]$ is $R[W]$ -flat.

Sketch of the proof:

(1) \implies (2): Asanuma ([Asa87]).

(2) \implies (3): Trivial.

(3) \implies (1): $R[W]$ is a retract of $R[X, Y]$ and therefore, the inclusions in $R[W] \subseteq R[X, Y] \subseteq R[W]^{[n]}$ are preserved under $\otimes_R k(P)$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(R) \implies k(P)[W] \hookrightarrow k(P)[X, Y] \hookrightarrow k(P)[W]^{[n]}$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(R) \implies$ (By Abhyankar-Eakin-Heinzer, [AEH72]) $k(P)[X, Y] = k(P)[W]^{[1]}$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(R) \implies W$ is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$.

Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ are variables! [BD93]

Theorem 4 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD93])

R a Noetherian ring either containing \mathbb{Q} or R_{red} is seminormal. $W \in R[X, Y]$ is residual coord. (equiv. $R[W] \subseteq R[X, Y] \subseteq R[W]^{[1]}$) if and only if $R[X, Y] = R[W]^{[1]}$. (Review GET)

Corollary 5 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD93])

R a ring and $\underline{W} := (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m) \in A := R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ where $m < n$ and $n \geq 2$.

(A) The following are equivalent if $m = n - 1$:

- 1 $A \otimes_R k(P) = (R[\underline{W}] \otimes_R k(P))^{[1]}$.
- 2 $A^{[\ell]} = R[\underline{W}]^{[1+\ell]}$ for some $\ell \geq 0$.
- 3 $R[\underline{W}] \subseteq A \subseteq R[\underline{W}]^\ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further, (1) \implies (2) \implies (3) holds even when $m \neq n - 1$.

(B) If $m = n - 1$ and either $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ or R_{red} is seminormal, then

$R[\underline{W}] \subseteq A \subseteq R[\underline{W}]^\ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \implies A = R[\underline{W}]^{[1]}$.

Examples

Example: Theorem 4 does not hold if R does not contain \mathbb{Q} or R_{red} is seminormal.

$R = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[2\sqrt{2}]$. If $t = \sqrt{2}$, then $t^2, t^3 \in R$ and $t \notin R$: R is not seminormal.

$W = X - 2Y(tX - Y^2) + t(tX - Y^2)^2 - t(Y - t(tX - Y^2))^4 \in R[X, Y]$. W is a residual coord., but $R[X, Y]/(W) \neq R^{[1]}$ and therefore $R[X, Y] \neq R[W]^{[1]}$.

Question: If W is residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$ and $R[X, Y]/(W) = R^{[1]}$, is then $R[X, Y] = R[W]^{[1]}$?

Example: Theorem 4 does not hold under the setup $R[W] \subseteq R[X, Y, Z](= R^{[3]}) \subseteq R[W]^{[n]}$:

$R = k$, a field.

$X = U^2 + W$, $Y = V^2(U^2 + W) + 2UV + 1$, $Z = V(U^2 + W) + U$

See that $W = XY - Z^2$ and $k[W] \subset k[X, Y, Z] \subset k[U, V, W]$,
but W is not a variable of $R[X, Y, Z]$.

Residual coordinates which is a line but not a variable

Question: R a Noetherian domain and $W \in R[X, Y]$ is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$. If $R[X, Y]/(W) = R^{[1]}$, is then W a variable of $R[X, Y]$?

Asanuma-Dutta, On a residual coordinate which is a non-trivial line. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 225 (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 106523, [AD21].

Theorem 6 (Asanuma-Dutta, [AD21])

k an infinite field, $ch(k) = p > 2$, $R := k[[t^2, t^3]]$, $\tilde{R} := k[[t]]$, the normalisation of R , $I := (t^2, t^3)R = t^2\tilde{R}$, the conductor ideal of \tilde{R} in R .

Let $\bar{\tau} : (X, Y) \mapsto (X + \bar{t}X^pY^p, Y) \in \text{Aut}_{\tilde{R}/C}(\tilde{R}/C[X, Y])$. Then,

- There exists $\tau \in \text{Aut}_{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{R}[X, Y])$ such that τ is a lift of $\bar{\tau}$.
- $\tau(Y) \in R[X, Y]$
- $\tau(Y)$ is a residual coordinate of $R[X, Y]$
- $R[X, Y]/(\tau(Y)) = R^{[1]}$.
- $R[X, Y]/(\tau(Y) - 1) \neq R^{[1]} \implies \tau(Y)$ is not a coordinate of $R[X, Y]$.

Applications

Bhatwadekar-Dutta, On residual variables and stably polynomial algebras. Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), no. 2, 635–645, [BD93]:

Theorem 7 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD93])

R a Noetherian domain, $ch(R) = 0$, either $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ or R is seminormal.

$R[X, Y, Z]/(F) = R[U, V] = R^{[2]}$ and there exists $W \in R[X, Y]$ such that $R[U, V] = R[\bar{W}]^{[1]}$.

Then $R[X, Y, Z] = R[W, F]^{[1]}$.

Proof: If $F \in R[X, Y]$, then $(R[X, Y]/(F))[Z] = R^{[2]}$ and by Hamann ([Ham75]) and Generalized Epimorphism theorem ([Bha88]) $R[X, Y] = R[F]^{[1]}$ and hence $R[X, Y, Z] = R[F, Z]^{[1]}$.

If $F \notin R[X, Y]$, then $R[W] \subseteq R[X, Y] \hookrightarrow R[X, Y, Z]/(F) = R[U, V] = R[W]^{[1]} \implies$ ([BD93]) W is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$ and hence by [BD93] $R[X, Y] = R[W, V]$. Thus, $R[W][V, Z]/(F) = R[W]^{[1]} \implies$ (Generalized Epimorphism theorem, [Bha88]) $R[W][V, Z] = R[W][F]^{[1]}$, i.e., $R[X, Y, Z] = R[W, F]^{[1]}$.

Applications

Das-Dutta, Planes of the form $b(X, Y)Z^n - a(X, Y)$ over a DVR. J. Commut. Algebra 3 (2011), no. 4, 491–509, [DD11]:

Das-Dutta extended an Epimorphism result of Wright over algebraically closed field to any field:

Theorem 8 (Das-Dutta, [DD11])

k a field, $ch(k) = p \geq 0$, $g := b(X, Y)Z^n - a(X, Y) \in k[X, Y, Z]$, $b \neq 0$, $p \nmid n$.

If $B := k[X, Y, Z]/(g) = k^{[2]}$, then exist variables $U, V \in B$ such that V is the image of Z in B , $U \in k[X, Y]$, $b \in k[U]$, $k[X, Y] = k[U, a]$ and $k[X, Y, Z] = k[U, g, Z]$.

Using the theory of residual coord. the above result gets generalized to the ring case.

Theorem 9 (Das-Dutta, [DD11])

k a field and $k \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian domain. $ch(k) = p \geq 0$.

$g := b(X, Y)Z^n - a(X, Y) \in R[X, Y, Z]$, $b \neq 0$, $p \nmid n$.

If $R[X, Y, Z]/(g) = R^{[2]}$, then $R[X, Y] = R[a]^{[1]}$ and $R[X, Y, Z] = R[a, Z]^{[1]} = R[g, Z]^{[1]}$ provided either $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ or if R is seminormal.

Applications

Berson-Bikker-van den Essen: Adapting coordinates. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 184 (2003), no. 2-3, 165–174, [BBE03]:

Corollary 10 (Berson-Bikker-van den Essen, [BBE03])

R a ring. $F \in R[X, Y]$ and $a \in R$.

F is a coordinate of $R[X, Y]$ if and only if it remains coordinate in $R_a[X, Y]$ and in $R/aR[X, Y]$.

Conjecture: R a ring and $a \in R$. If $(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n-1}) \in R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ is a partial coordinate system of $R_a[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ and of $R/aR[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, then $(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n-1})$ is a partial coordinate-system of $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$.

In [BBE03]: shown that if a is a non-zero divisor, then the conjecture is true.

Lahiri, A note on partial coordinate system in a polynomial ring. Comm. Algebra 47 (2019), no. 3, 1099–1101, [Lah19]: **The conjecture holds true; proof uses theory of residual coordinates.**

Applications: New tools

Bhatwadekar-Dutta, Kernel of locally nilpotent R -derivations of $R[X, Y]$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 8, 3303–3319 [BD97]:

Theorem 11 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD97])

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian domain with $Qt(R) = K$. $F \in R[X, Y]$ be a such that $K[X, Y] = K[F]^{[1]}$.

Then $R[X, Y] = R[F]^{[1]}$ if and only if $(F_X, F_Y)R[X, Y] = R[X, Y]$.

Proof: Technique: Residual coordinates. To show: if $(F_X, F_Y)R[X, Y] = R[X, Y]$, then F is a residual coord.. Note: If F is a residual coord. of $R_P[X, Y]$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, then F is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$. Assume R is local $\implies \dim(R) < \infty$. Rest of the proof uses induction on $\dim(R)$, mainly on $\dim 0$ and $\dim 1$.

Theorem 12 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD97], Daigle-Freudenburg Noetherian UFD-case, [DF98])

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian domain

If $D \in \text{LND}_R(R[X, Y])$ is fixed point free, then D has a slice.

Table of Contents

- 1 Notation and Definitions
 - 2 Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])
 - 3 Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])
 - 4 Residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations ([EK13])**
 - 5 Residual coordinates of affine fibrations ([DD14])
 - 6 Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m
 - 7 References
- References

Residual coordinates of affine fibrations, [EK13]

Question: R a polynomial ring over a field $k \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Are residual coordinates or stable coordinates of $R^{[3]}$ are coordinates? (Open) Are residual coordinates and stable coordinates of $R^{[3]}$ are the same? (Yes)

Definition 13 (Kahoui, [EK13])

R a ring, A an \mathbb{A}^n -fibration over R and $W \in A$.

W is called a residual coord. of A if $A \otimes_R k(P) = (R[W] \otimes_R k(P))^{[n-1]}$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$.

Theorem 14 (Kahoui, [EK13])

$R = \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ for some $n \geq 0$, A be an \mathbb{A}^3 -fibration over R and $W \in A$.

Then W is a residual coordinate of A iff W is a stable coordinate of A iff A is an \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration over $R[W]$.

Question: If R is any ring containing \mathbb{Q} , whether residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations are stable coordinates.

Table of Contents

- 1 Notation and Definitions
 - 2 Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])
 - 3 Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])
 - 4 Residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations ([EK13])
 - 5 Residual coordinates of affine fibrations ([DD14])**
 - 6 Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m
 - 7 References
- References

Residual coordinates of affine fibrations, [DD14]

Definition 15 (Das-Dutta, [DD14])

R a ring, A an R -algebra, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\underline{W} := (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m)$ an m -tuple of elements in A which are algebraically independent over R such that $A \otimes_R k(P) = (R[\underline{W}] \otimes_R k(P))^{[n-m]}$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$. We shall call such an m -tuple \underline{W} to be an m -tuple residual coord. of A over R .

Their observations:

- If A is an \mathbb{A}^n -fibration over R and \underline{W} a m -tuple residual coord. of A , then A is an \mathbb{A}^{n-m} -fibration over $R[\underline{W}]$. Further, $\Omega_R(A)$ is a stably free A -module if and only if $\Omega_{R[\underline{W}]}(A)$ is a stably free A -module.
- R a Noetherian ring and A an \mathbb{A}^{m+1} -fibration over R . Then \underline{W} is an m -tuple residual coord. of A over R iff A is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration over $R[\underline{W}]$. Consequently, if R is a Noetherian UFD, then \underline{W} is an m -tuple residual coord. of A over R if and only if $A = R[\underline{W}]^{[1]} = R^{[m+1]}$.
- R a finite-dimensional polynomial algebra over a PID, A an \mathbb{A}^n -fibration over R and \underline{W} an m -tuple residual coord. of A over R . Then A is a stably polynomial algebra over $R[\underline{W}]$.

Recall ...

- $W \in R[X, Y]$ is called a residual coordinate if $R[X, Y] \otimes_R k(P) = (R[W] \otimes_R k(P))^{[1]} = k(P)[\overline{W}]^{[1]}$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- W is a residual coord. of $R[X, Y]$
 - 1 $\implies R[X, Y]$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration over $R[W]$.
 - 2 $\Leftrightarrow R[X, Y]^{[m]} = R[W]^{[n]} \Leftrightarrow R[W] \subseteq R[X, Y] \subseteq R[W]^{[n]}$.
- If either $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ or R_{red} is seminormal, then W is a residual coord $\Leftrightarrow R[X, Y] = R[W]^{[1]}$.
- $R = \mathbb{Q}[t]_{(t)}$, $F = t^2 Y + (X + tY^2) + t(X + tY^2)^2$ is a residual coord of $R[X, Y] \implies R[X, Y] = R[F]^{[1]}$.
- $\underline{W} := (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m) \in R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ residual coord. tuple
 - 1 $\implies R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]^{[\ell]} = R[\underline{W}]^{[\ell+n-m]}$.
 - 2 $\Leftrightarrow R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]^{[\ell]} = R[\underline{W}]^{[\ell+1]}$, if $n - m = 1$.
- If $n - m = 1$ and either $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ or R_{red} is seminormal then \underline{W} is a residual coord tuple $\Leftrightarrow R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = R[\underline{W}]^{[1]}$.
- $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian domain with $\text{Qt}(R) = K$. $F \in R[X, Y]$ be a such that $K[X, Y] = K[F]^{[1]}$. Then $R[X, Y] = R[F]^{[1]}$ if and only if $(F_X, F_Y)R[X, Y] = R[X, Y]$.

Recall ...

- If $\underline{W} := (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m)$ an m -tuple of elements in A which are algebraically independent over R such that $A \otimes_R k(P) = (R[\underline{W}] \otimes_R k(P))^{[n-m]}$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, then \underline{W} is called an m -tuple residual coord. of A over R .

Let A is an \mathbb{A}^n -fibration over R and \underline{W} a m -tuple residual coord. of A , then

- A is an \mathbb{A}^{n-m} -fibration over $R[\underline{W}]$.
- $\Omega_R(A)$ is a stably free A -module if and only if $\Omega_{R[\underline{W}]}(A)$ is a stably free A -module.
- Let $n - m = 1$ and R a Noetherian ring. Then \underline{W} is an m -tuple residual coord. of A over R iff A is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration over $R[\underline{W}]$.

Residual coordinates of affine fibrations

Theorem 16 (Das-Dutta, [DD14])

R a Noetherian ring and A an \mathbb{A}^n -fibration over R such that $\Omega_R(A)$ is a stably free A -module.

If \underline{W} is an m -tuple residual coord. of A over R , $A^{[\ell]} = R[\underline{W}]^{[n-m+\ell]}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further, if $m = n - 1$ and either $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ or R_{red} is seminormal, then \underline{W} is a residual coordinate of A if and only if $A = R[\underline{W}]^{[n-1]}$.

Example of Hochster (Res. coord is stable coord. and not a coord.)

$R := \mathbb{R}[X, Y, Z]/(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1) = R[x, y, z]$. Define and R -LND on $R[U, V, W]$ by $D_0(U) = x$, $D_0(V) = y$ and $D_0(W) = z$.

Check that $D_0(xU + yV + zW) = 1$, and therefore, by Slice Theorem we have $R[U, V, W] = A[xU + yV + zW] = A^{[1]}$ where $A := \text{Ker}(D_0)$. Let $s = xU + yV + zW$. $R[s] \subset R[U, V, W] = A[s]$. Check that s is a residual coord. of $R[U, V, W] \implies s$ is a stable coord. of $R[U, V, W]$. However, it is not a coord. since $A \neq R^{[2]}$.

Application: A cancellation problem

Question: R a ring, A an R -algebra and $A[T] = R[U, V, W]$. Is then $A = R^{[2]}$?

A possible approach: Find $F \in A[T] \setminus A$ such that $A[T] = R[U, V, W] = R[F]^{[2]}$. Identify A as a subring of $A[T]/(F) = R^{[2]}$. Then one explicitly constructs variables for A in terms of judiciously chosen variables for $A[T]/(F)$ exploiting the fact that $A[T]/(F)$ is a simple ring extension of A .

Such approach was taken by Sathaye ([Sat76]) and Russell ([Rus76] for the case $F = bT - a$ and Wright [Wri78] for the case $F = bT^n - a$, $n \geq 2$. ([RS79])

Theorem 17 (Wright ([Wri78]), Das ([Das15]))

k a field, $ch(k) = p \geq 0$, A a normal affine k -domain. $a, b \in A$, $b \neq 0$, $A[T]/(bT^n - a) = k^{[2]}$, $n \geq 2$, $p \nmid n$

Then, there exist variables X, Y in $A[T]/(bT^n - a)$ such that Y is the image of T in $A[T]/(bT^n - a)$, $b \in k[X]$, $A = k[X, a] = k^{[2]}$ and $A[T] = k[X, bT^n - a, T] = k^{[3]}$.

Question: Does it hold over domains?

Theorem 18 (Das, [Das15])

k a field, $ch(k) = p \geq 0$, $k \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian normal domain, A a finitely generated flat R -domain with $\Omega_R(A)$ stably free A -module, $a, b \in A$ such that $A[T]/(bT^n - a) = R^{[2]}$, $n \geq 2$ and $p \nmid n$. Suppose, for each $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, we have $A \otimes_R k(P)$ is normal and $b \nmid PA_P$.

Then, $A = R[a]^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$ and $A[T] = R[bT^n - a, T]^{[1]} = R^{[3]}$. When R is UFD, the hypothesis “ $\Omega_R(A)$ stably free” may be dropped.

Corollary 19 (Das, [Das15])

k a field, $ch(k) = p \geq 0$, $k \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian normal domain, A and R -algebra such that $A[T] = R[bT^n - a]^{[2]} = R^{[3]}$ where $n \geq 2$ and $p \nmid n$

Then, $A = R[a]^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$ and $A[T] = R[bT^n - a, T]^{[1]}$.

Corollary 20 (Das, [Das15])

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian UFD, A an \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration over R , $a, b \in A$, $n \geq 2$ such that $A[T]/(bT^n - a) \otimes_R k(P) = k(P)^{[2]}$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$

Then, $A = R[a]^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$ and $A[T] = R[bT^n - a, T]^{[1]}$.

Application: Another cancellation problem

Question: Over any one dimensional domain $R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, is a \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration A a polynomial algebra?

Comment in [AB97]: “ $\Omega_R(A)$ being not free is the only obstruction for the result of Sathaye to be not true for an arbitrary local domain R of dimension 1”.

Improvement: If A is an \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration over a one dimensional Noetherian domain $R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably free A -module, then $A = R^{[2]}$.

Proof: A is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration over a one dimensional Noetherian domain $R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \implies$ ([AB97]) A is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration over $R[W]$ for some $W \in A \implies$ ([DD14]) W is a residual coord. of $A \implies$ ($\Omega_R(A)$ stably free A -module, [DD14]) $A = R[W]^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$.

Theorem 21 (Kahoui-Ouali, [EKO14])

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ Noetherian one-dimensional domain and A an R -algebra.

If $A^{[n]} = R^{[n+2]}$, then $A = R^{[2]}$.

Proof:

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ Noetherian and $A^{[n]} = R^{[n+2]} \implies A$ is an \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration over $R \implies$
(by previous result) $A = R^{[2]}$.

Application: Fixed point free LND of \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration

Known: If $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ is a ring and D is a fixed point free R -LND of $R[X, Y]$, then D has a slice, i.e., $R[X, Y] = \text{Ker}(D)^{[1]}$, and in that case $\text{Ker}(D) = R^{[1]}$ ([Ren68], [DF98], [BD97], [BvM01], [Ess07]). **Question:** What happens when $R[X, Y]$ is replaced by an \mathbb{A}^2 -fibration?

Theorem 22 (Kahoui-Ouali, [EKO16])

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a ring and A an R -algebra such that $A^{[m]} = R^{[m+2]}$. If D is a fixed point free R -LND of A , then D has a slice, i.e., $A = \text{Ker}(D)^{[1]}$ and in that case $\text{Ker}(D) = R^{[1]}$ (i.e., $A = R^{[2]}$).

Proof: (Assume R domain; $\text{Qt}(R) = K$) By a reduction method assume R is a Noetherian f.g. \mathbb{Q} -domain. Consider D_K on $A \otimes_R K = K^{[2]}$. $\text{Ker}(D_K) = K[U_1]$ for some $U_1 \in A$. Extend D trivially to \tilde{D} on $A^{[m]} = A[\underline{T}] = R[\underline{X}] = R^{[m+2]}$. Compare with \tilde{D} with $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}_{(\underline{X})}(U_1, \underline{T}, -)$ to see $\tilde{D} = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}_{(\underline{X})}(U, \underline{T}, -)$ where $aU = U_1 + r$ where $r \in R$ and $a \in A$. Now show that U is actually a residual coord. of $A \implies (A^{[m]} = R^{[m+2]}, [\text{DD14}]) A = R[U]^{[1]} = R^{[2]} \implies D$ has a slice.

Babu-Das, [BD21]: $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian ring, A an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration over R . If D is a fixed point free R -LND of A , then D has a slice, i.e., $A = \text{Ker}(D)^{[1]}$ and in that case $\text{Ker}(D)$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration.

Application: New tool

Theorem 23 (Babu-Das, communicated)

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian domain, $\text{Qt}(R) = K$ and A an R -algebra such that A is a retraction of $B = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = R^{[n]}$ and $\text{tr.deg}_R(A) = 2$.

If $F \in A$ be such that $A \otimes_R K = K[F]^{[1]}$ and $(F_{X_1}, F_{X_2}, \dots, F_{X_n})B = B$, then F is a residual coordinate of A .

Corollary 24 (Babu-Das, communicated)

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian domain, $\text{Qt}(R) = K$, A an R -algebra such that $A^{[n]} = A[\underline{T}] = R[\underline{X}] = R^{[n+2]}$ where $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+2})$ and $\underline{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$. Let $F \in A$ be such that $A \otimes_R K = K[F]^{[1]}$. Then, TFAE:.

- (I) $(F_{X_1}, F_{X_2}, \dots, F_{X_{n+2}})A[\underline{T}] = A[\underline{T}]$.
- (II) F is a residual coordinate of A .
- (III) $A = R[F]^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$.
- (IV) $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}_{(\underline{X})}(F, \underline{T}, -)$ is a fixed point free R -LND.

Table of Contents

- 1 Notation and Definitions
 - 2 Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])
 - 3 Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])
 - 4 Residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations ([EK13])
 - 5 Residual coordinates of affine fibrations ([DD14])
 - 6 Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m**
 - 7 References
- References

Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m

[BD93], [DD14]: Any residual coordinate of R^n is a m -stable coordinate for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 25 (Kahoui-Ouali, [EKO18])

(I): $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow k$ algebraically closed field, $R = k[X]$. Then, any residual coordinate of $A = R^{[n]}$, where $n \geq 3$, is a 1-stable coordinate.

(II): $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R$ a Noetherian d -dimensional ring. Then, any residual coordinate of $A = R^{[n]}$ is a $((2^d - 1)n)$ -stable coordinate.

Theorem 26 (Dutta-Lahiri, [DL21])

(I): k algebraically closed field, R one-dimensional affine k -algebra, either $ch(k) = 0$ or R_{red} is seminormal. Then, any residual coordinate of $R^{[n]}$, $n \geq 3$, is a 1-stable coordinate.

(II): If R is a Noetherian d -dimensional ring, then every residual coordinate of $R^{[n]}$ is a $((2^d - 1)n)$ -stable coordinate.

(III): k algebraically closed field, $ch(k) = 0$, R a f.g. k -algebra, $\dim(R) = d$. Then every residual coord. of $R^{[n]}$ is $(2^d - 1)n - 2^{d-1}(n - 1) = (2^{d-1}(n + 1) - n)$ -stable coordinate.

Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m

Theorem 27 (Kahoui-Essamaoui-Ouali, [EKEO21])

R a Noetherian, $\dim(R) = 1$. Then the following holds.

- 1 Every residual coord. of $A = R^{[2]}$ is a 1-stable coordinate.
- 2 If R is an integral ring extension of $k^{[1]}$, where k is an algebraically closed field, then for every $n \geq 3$, residual coordinates of $R^{[n]}$ are 1-stable coordinates.
- 3 If R is a complete local ring containing a field then for every $n \geq 3$, residual coordinates of $R^{[n]}$ are 1-stable coordinates.

Example of Bhatwadelar-Dutta

Example 28 (Bhatwadekar-Dutta, [BD94], Vénéreau (2001, thesis))

$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow k$ be a field, $R = k[\pi]_{(\pi)}$ and $A = R[X, Y, Z]$. Set $F := \pi^2 X + \pi Y(YZ + X + X^2) + Y$. One can check that $A \otimes_R k(P) = (R[F] \otimes_R k(P))^{[2]}$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R) \implies F$ is a residual coord. of $A \implies A^{[m]} = R[F]^{[m+2]}$, in fact, it can be shown that $A^{[1]} = R[F]^{[3]}$ (also follows from [EKEO21])

It is not known whether $A = R[F]^{[2]}$.

Define an R -LND D of A by $D(X) = Y^2$, $D(Y) = 0$ and $D(Z) = -(\pi + Y + 2XY)$. Then, $R[F] \subseteq \text{Ker}(D)$. It is known that $\text{Ker}(D) = R[F]^{[1]} = R^{[2]}$. We now show that D is not fixed point free.

On the contrary, assume that D is fixed point free, and therefore, there exists $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in R[X, Y, Z]$ such that $D(X)f_1 + D(Y)f_2 + D(Z)f_3 = 1$.

Since $D(Y) = 0$, we have $D(X)f_1 + D(Z)f_3 = 1$, i.e., $Y^2 f_1 - (\pi + Y + 2XY)f_3 = 1$.

Hence, in $A/YA = R[X, Z]$ we get $-\pi f_3 = 1$, i.e., π is a unit in $R[X, Z]$ – a contradiction to the fact that π is a prime in R .

Thank you!

Table of Contents

- 1 Notation and Definitions
- 2 Residual coordinates of $R[X, Y]$ ([Bha88])
- 3 Residual coordinates of polynomial algebras ([BD93])
- 4 Residual coordinates of \mathbb{A}^3 -fibrations ([EK13])
- 5 Residual coordinates of affine fibrations ([DD14])
- 6 Residual coordinates of R^n are m -stable coordinates: Bounds on m
- 7 References**
References

- [AB97] Teruo Asanuma and S. M. Bhatwadekar. “Structure of \mathbf{A}^2 -fibrations over one-dimensional Noetherian domains”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 115.1 (1997), pp. 1–13.
- [AD21] Teruo Asanuma and Amartya Kumar Dutta. “On a residual coordinate which is a non-trivial line”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 225.4 (2021), Paper No. 106523, 6.
- [AEH72] Shreeram S. Abhyankar, Paul Eakin, and William Heinzer. “On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring”. In: *J. Algebra* 23 (1972), pp. 310–342.
- [Asa87] Teruo Asanuma. “Polynomial fibre rings of algebras over Noetherian rings”. In: *Invent. Math.* 87.1 (1987), pp. 101–127.
- [BBE03] Joost Berson, Jan Willem Bikker, and Arno van den Essen. “Adapting coordinates”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 184.2-3 (2003), pp. 165–174. ISSN: 0022-4049.
- [BD21] Janaki Raman Babu and Prosenjit Das. “Structure of \mathbb{A}^2 -fibrations having fixed point free locally nilpotent derivations”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 225.12 (2021), Paper No. 106763, 12.

- [BD93] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Amartya K. Dutta. “On residual variables and stably polynomial algebras”. In: *Comm. Algebra* 21.2 (1993), pp. 635–645.
- [BD94] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Amartya Kumar Dutta. “On affine fibrations”. In: *Commutative algebra (Trieste, 1992)*. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994, pp. 1–17.
- [BD97] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Amartya K. Dutta. “Kernel of locally nilpotent R -derivations of $R[X, Y]$ ”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349.8 (1997), pp. 3303–3319.
- [Bha88] S. M. Bhatwadekar. “Generalized epimorphism theorem”. In: *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 98.2-3 (1988), pp. 109–116.
- [BvM01] Joost Berson, Arno van den Essen, and Stefan Maubach. “Derivations having divergence zero on $R[X, Y]$.”. In: *Isr. J. Math.* 124 (2001), pp. 115–124. ISSN: 0021-2172; 1565-8511/e.
- [Das15] Prosenjit Das. “On cancellation of variables of the form $bT^n - a$ over affine normal domains”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 219.12 (2015), pp. 5280–5288.

- [DD11] Prosenjit Das and Amartya K. Dutta. “Planes of the form $b(X, Y)Z^n - a(X, Y)$ over a DVR”. In: *J. Commut. Algebra* 3.4 (2011), pp. 491–509.
- [DD14] Prosenjit Das and Amartya K. Dutta. “A note on residual variables of an affine fibration”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 218.10 (2014), pp. 1792–1799.
- [DF98] Daniel Daigle and Gene Freudenburg. “Locally nilpotent derivations over a UFD and an application to rank two locally nilpotent derivations of $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ”. In: *J. Algebra* 204.2 (1998), pp. 353–371.
- [DL21] Amartya Kumar Dutta and Animesh Lahiri. “On residual and stable coordinates”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 225.10 (2021), Paper No. 106707, 8.
- [EK13] M’hammed El Kahoui. “On residual coordinates and stable coordinates of $R^{[3]}$ ”. In: *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 100.1 (2013), pp. 35–41.
- [EKEO21] M’hammed El Kahoui, Najoua Essamaoui, and Mustapha Ouali. “Residual coordinates over one-dimensional rings”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 225.6 (2021), Paper No. 106629, 10.

- [EKO14] M'hammed El Kahoui and Mustapha Ouali. “The cancellation problem over Noetherian one-dimensional domains”. In: *Kyoto J. Math.* 54.1 (2014), pp. 157–165.
- [EKO16] M'hammed El Kahoui and Mustapha Ouali. “A triviality criterion for \mathbb{A}^2 -fibrations over a ring containing \mathbb{Q} .”. In: *J. Algebra* 459 (2016), pp. 272–279.
- [EKO18] M'hammed El Kahoui and Mustapha Ouali. “A note on residual coordinates of polynomial rings”. In: *J. Commut. Algebra* 10.3 (2018), pp. 317–326.
- [Ess07] Arno van den Essen. “Around the cancellation problem”. In: *Affine algebraic geometry*. Osaka Univ. Press, Osaka, 2007, pp. 463–481.
- [Ham75] Eloise Hamann. “On the R -invariance of $R[X]$ ”. In: *J. Algebra* 35 (1975), pp. 1–16. ISSN: 0021-8693.
- [Lah19] Animesh Lahiri. “A note on partial coordinate system in a polynomial ring”. In: *Comm. Algebra* 47.3 (2019), pp. 1099–1101.
- [Ren68] Rudolf Rentschler. “Opérations du groupe additif sur le plan affine”. In: *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 267 (1968), A384–A387.

- [RS79] Peter Russell and Avinash Sathaye. “On finding and cancelling variables in $k[X, Y, Z]$ ”. In: *J. Algebra* 57.1 (1979), pp. 151–166.
- [Rus76] Peter Russell. “Simple birational extensions of two dimensional affine rational domains”. In: *Compositio Math.* 33.2 (1976), pp. 197–208.
- [Sat76] Avinash Sathaye. “On linear planes”. In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 56 (1976), pp. 1–7.
- [Wri78] David Wright. “Cancellation of variables of the form $bT^n - a$ ”. In: *J. Algebra* 52.1 (1978), pp. 94–100.